United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,492	01/30/2006	Carolyn A. Buser-Doepner	21125YP	7022
210 MERCK AND	7590 10/19/2007 CO INC		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			LEE, JAE W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/520,492	BUSER-DOEPNER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Jae W. Lee, Ph.D.	1656	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	
Status				
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/30</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) 75-94 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 75-94 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment	(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	eate	

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Application status

Claims 75-94 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 75-86, drawn to a crystallized complex of KSP and a ligand thereof, wherein the relative structural coordinates of the amino acid residues of KSP are selected from the group set forth in one of the following: a) Table 1 +/- the root mean square deviation from the conserved backbone atoms of not more than about 2 Å; b) Table 2 +/- the root mean square deviation from the conserved backbone atoms of said amino acids of not more than about 2 Å; c) Table 3 +/- the root mean square deviation from the conserved backbone atoms of said amino acids of not more than about 2 Å; and d) Table 4 +/- the root mean square deviation from the conserved backbone atoms of said amino acids of not more than about 2 Å.

Group II, claim(s) 87-90, drawn to a method for identifying an agent that interacts with a ligand binding site of human KSP, comprising the steps of: (a) determining a ligand binding site of KSP from a three-dimensional model of the KSP binding site as set forth in Table 5, +/- the root mean square deviation from the backbone atoms of said amino acids of not more than about 2.0 Å; and (b) performing computer fitting analysis to identify an agent which interacts with said ligand binding site.

Group III, claim(s) 91 and 92, drawn to a machine-readable data storage medium, comprising a data storage material encoded with machine readable data which, when using a machine programmed with instructions for using said data, is capable of displaying a graphical three-dimensional representation of a molecular complex of a

compound bound to the ligand binding site of human KSP, said three- dimensional representation comprising the structural coordinates of the KSP as set forth in a table selected from Tables 1-4 or a homologue of said molecular complex, wherein said homologue comprises a binding site that has a root mean square deviation from the backbone atoms of said KSP of not more than about 2.0 Å.

Group IV, claim(s) 93 and 94, drawn to a method of identifying an inhibitor of KSP wherein the inhibitor binds to the ligand binding site according to Claim 84 which comprises determining the shift in the fluorescence of an amino acid residue at position 127 of KSP, wherein said amino acid residue is tryptophan.

In addition to the above election, please elect a single species from the following groups (A)-(D). This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species.

- (A) Tables 1 and 5
- (B) Table 2
- (C) Table 3
- (D) Table 4

The species are independent or distinct because these Tables represent structurally different crystals comprising different ligands. Therefore, those structural coordinates disclosed in different Tables are different and produce different three-dimensional structures that will have different effects when used in the screening methods to identify a ligand.

These claims will be examined to the extent they read upon the elected species.

Art Unit: 1656

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 75-94 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over

Art Unit: 1656

the prior art. Nagar et al. (Structural basis for the autoinhibition of c-Abl tyrosine kinase, Cell, Vol. 112, pg. 859-871, March 21, 2003) teach IBM-SP3 supercomputer, which is a machine-readable data storage medium (see pg. 870, left column, 2nd paragraph), which corresponds to the limitation of claim 91, in the recitation of "a machine-readable data storage medium, comprising a data storage material encoded with machine readable data which, when using a machine programmed with instructions for using said data, is capable of displaying a graphical three-dimensional representation of a molecular complex of a compound bound to the ligand binding site of human KSP, said three-dimensional representation comprising the structural coordinates of the KSP as set forth in a table selected from Tables 1-4 or a homologue of said molecular complex, wherein said homologue comprises a binding site that has a root mean square deviation

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

from the backbone atoms of said KSP of not more than about 2.0 Å," and thus, the

shared technical feature of the groups is not a "special technical feature", unity of

invention between the groups does not exist.

Because these inventions are unrelated and distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the literature and sequence searches required for each of the Group is not required for

Art Unit: 1656

another thereby presenting a search burden on the Examiner, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jae W. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-9949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner: Jae W. Lee, Ph.D.

RICHARD HUTSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER